UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,105	12/23/2004	Tsutomu Yoshitake	Q85456	9481
23373 7590 04/10/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			ARCIERO, ADAM A	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,105	YOSHITAKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ADAM A. ARCIERO	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 27 and 30-55 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-52 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27, 30-33 and 53-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/519,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

FUEL CELL, ELECTRODE FOR FUEL CELL AND METHOD PRODUCING THEM

Examiner: Adam Arciero S.N. 10/519,105 Art Unit: 1795 April 9, 2009

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 06, 2009 has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

DETAILED ACTION

3. The Applicant's amendment filed on March 06, 2009 was received. Claim 27 was amended. Claims 28-29 were cancelled. Claims 34-52 remain withdrawn. Claims 53-55 are newly added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by NOBUAKI on claims 27-32 are withdrawn, because Applicant has amended the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,105 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NOBUAKI and VAIDYANATHAN on claim 33 is withdrawn, because Applicant has amended independent claim 27.

6. Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NOBUAKI (JP 2002-056863 A) in view of WILLIAMS et al. (US 4,835,071).

As to Claims 27 and 28, NOBUAKI discloses a fuel cell provided with a conductor film which intervenes between two electrodes (hydrogen and oxygen electrodes), each electrode has a charge collector arranged at the outside surface of said electrodes (paragraph [0001]). The hydrogen electrode 103 is a carbon electrode with a catalyst layer on one side and a hydrogen pole charge collector 106 as a conductor connected to the opposite side of the hydrogen electrode 103 (paragraph [0003). Said hydrogen electrode and corresponding current collector are bonded by a first electroconductive glue layer (paragraph [0007]). NOBUAKI does not specifically disclose wherein a carbide layer is formed at an interface between the electrode substrate and said current collector.

However, WILLIAMS et al. teaches of an electrode having a nickel current collector bonded to said electrode (col. 6, lines 28-67 and Claim 6). WILLIAMS et al. do not specifically disclose a carbide layer formed at an interface of the electrode and current collector. However, it is the position of the Examiner that such a carbide layer is inherently formed, given that both NOBUAKI and WILLIAMS et al. and the present application utilize the same materials and structure for an electrode and a similar method for applying the current collector to the electrode.

A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. In re Robertson, 49 USPO2d 1949 (1999).

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the current collector to the electrode by a brazing means because WILLIAMS et al. suggests that a strong and permanent bond will be formed (col. 6, lines 28-67).

As to Claims 29 and 30, NOBUAKI teaches the charge collector of claim 2, comprising a gold-plated nickel material (paragraph [0010]). The gold-plated nickel material of the current collector comprises elements (nickel and gold) capable of making carbide.

As to Claims 31 and 32, NOBUAKI teaches the charge collector of claim 1 comprising a gold-plated nickel material (metal plate) (paragraph [0010]).

As to Claims 53-54, it is noted that claims 53-54 are product-by-process claims. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claims is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Since NOBUAKI and WILLIAMS et al.'s electrode is similar to that of the Applicant's, Applicant's processes are not given patentable weight in these claims.

Art Unit: 1795

7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NOBUAKI (JP 2002-056863 and WILLIAMS et al. (US 4,835,071) as applied to claims 27, 30-32 and 53-54 above, and further in view of VAIDYANATHAN (US 4,585,711).

As to Claim 33, NOBUAKI does not expressly disclose the electrode used for a fuel cell as set forth in claim 27, wherein said current-collector has a thickness in the range of $0.05 \le x \le 1$ mm. However, VAIDYANATHAN teaches an electrode used for a fuel cell comprising a current collector. Said current collector has a final thickness of 75 microns corresponding to 0.075 mm. This thickness falls directly within the claimed range of $0.05 \le x \le 1$ mm. According to MPEP 2144.05 [R-5], the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists [*In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976)].

8. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NOBUAKI (JP 2002-056863 and WILLIAMS et al. (US 4,835,071) as applied to claims 27, 30-32 and 53-54 above, and further in view of HAMPDEN-SMITH et al. (US 2002/0107140 A1).

As to Claim 55, HAMPDEN-SMITH et al. teaches that a current collector for a fuel cell may be fabricated from nickel or silver, more preferably silver (pg. 20, [0302]). The courts have held that, because HAMPDEN-SMITH et al. teaches of a current collector which may be nickel or silver and NOBUAKI teaches a nickel current collector, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to substitute one metal collector for the other, and the results would be reasonably predictable. See KSR, MPEP 2141, III.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,105 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27, 30-33 and 53-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by Applicant's amendments.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADAM A. ARCIERO whose telephone number is (571)270-5116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,105

Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

/PATRICK RYAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795